UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,606	02/25/2004	Andrew Stronach	311.006US1	2818
7590 08/08/2007 Mark A. Litman & Associates, P.A. York Business Center, Suite 205			EXAMINER	
			COBURN, CORBETT B	
3209 West 76th St. Edina, MN 55435			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/08/2007	PAPER .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

*					
r	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/786,606	STRONACH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication con	Corbett B. Coburn	3714			
Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' cause the application to become AB.	CATION. Apply be timely filed FHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ju 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-69 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-26 and 39-69 is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 27-38 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	e withdrawn from considera	ation.			
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes oderawing(s) be held in abeyantion is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 19 Jul 04.	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application 			

Application/Control Number: 10/786,606 Page 2

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION.

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 27-38 in the reply filed on 11 July 2007 is acknowledged.

2. While Applicant has failed to withdraw claims 39-48, Examiner assumes that this is in error. Claims 39-48 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 11 July 2007.

Double Patenting

3. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 4. Claims 27-38 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 27-48 of copending Application No. 10/761,799. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.
- 5. Claims 27-38 of this application conflict with claims 27-38 of Application No. 10/761,799. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in

Art Unit: 3714

more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.

Page 3

Specification

- 6. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it does not describe the elected invention. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 7. Applicant is reminded to update the Continuing Data portion of the specification to reflect any changes to the status of the parent or copending applications. Since 10/761,799 is copending, and contains identical claims, it should be mentioned in the specification.

 Furthermore, the specification of 10/761,799 should be amended to reflect the pendency of the instant application.

Drawings

8. The drawings are objected to because many (Figs 6, 7, 10, 12-18, 23-28) appear to be screen shots of a computer program. These appear solid black when printed. They must be changed to appropriate line drawings. Other drawings (8, 11, 20) appear to be faded out in places. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the

Art Unit: 3714

drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 27-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brenner et al. (US Patent Number 5,830,068) in view of Thomas et al. US Patent 6,190,255.

Brenner substantially discloses the invention as claimed but fails to specifically disclose the bonus structure. Thomas discloses a type of bonus structure that is notoriously well known in the wagering art that includes bonus picks based on predetermined event, random picks, funding of bonus picks, issuing of bonus picks and awards, Abs, Col 1 Lines 40-45, Col 9 Line 58 – Col 6 Line 24. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to offer a bonus pick feature on the Brenner invention in order to enhance the level of player excitement as taught by Thomas at Col 2 Lines 1-5. Brenner discloses the use of track codes, Fig 12 Item 220. Brenner substantially discloses the invention as claimed, including all handicapping and choosing

functions, but discloses some of these functions in a manual format. *In re Venner*, 262 F.2d 91, 95, 120 USPQ 193, 194 (CCPA 1958) held that broadly providing an automatic or mechanical means to replace a manual activity which accomplished the same result is not sufficient to distinguish over the prior art. The Brenner patent accomplishes the same task as the present invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Corbett B. Coburn whose telephone number is (571) 272-4447. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5:30, Monday-Friday, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Corbett B. Coburn/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3714